

STATE OF NEVADA
Minutes for the
Nevada Occupational Safety and Health Review Board
Las Vegas, Nevada

July 8, 2026

Jorge Macias (Management)
Tyson Hollis (Public at Large)
Shannon Chambers (Labor)
Vincent Saavedra (Labor)

The meeting of the State of Nevada Occupational Safety and Health Review Board was called to order by Chairman Jorge Macias on July 8, 2026, at approximately 9:20 a.m., as the Board awaited the arrival to the meeting of Pete Cladianos, III, Esq., a member of The Law Offices of Charles R. Zeh, Esq., legal counsel to the Board of Review. Mr. Cladianos was traveling from Reno to Las Vegas to attend the meeting in person. He arrived at the meeting as indicated at approximately 9:20 a.m., on July 8, 2026, at which time the meeting was called to order to conduct the business of the Board by Jorge Macias, Chairman.

Notice of the meeting was given in compliance with the Nevada Open Meeting Law to take place at the Division of Industrial Relations', offices located at 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 750, Las Vegas, Nevada 89102. In accordance with the Nevada Open Meeting law, each Board member participating in the meeting either had before him or her all written materials to be considered during the deliberations or was obliged to refrain from voting if not in possession of the materials.

As the minutes will show, below, the Board was able to conclude all of its business noticed and scheduled for July 8, 2026 and July 9, 2026, before the close of business on July 8, 2026. Therefore, it was unnecessary for the Board to hold a meeting on July 9, 2026 as all of the Board's business as noticed was concluded on July 8, 2026.

1. Roll Call.

Chairman Macias called the Roll of the Board for the meeting of July 8, 2026. Board members present were Jorge Macias, Chairman, Tyson Hollis, Board Secretary, and Board Members Shannon Chambers and Vincent Saavedra. As four members out of the five members of the Board were present, including a member of labor and one member of business, a quorum of the Board was present to conduct business as duly noticed. Alternate Public Board Member, Jose Perez also attended the meeting but as a quorum was present, he observed but did not participate in the hearing.

Also, participating at the outset of the meeting were Salli Ortiz, Esq., legal counsel for State OSHA and Pete Cladianos, III, Esq., and Charles R. Zeh, Esq., both of The Law Offices of Charles R. Zeh, Esq., legal counsel to the Board of Review, participated in the meeting. Mr.

Cladianos and Ms. Ortiz were physically present at 2300 West Sahara Ave., Suite 750, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89102 where the Board meeting was conducted. Mr. Zeh participated virtually throughout the meeting of the Board. Mitchell Anderson, Esq., was also present as legal counsel for Hirschi Masonry.

While awaiting the start of the meeting, Mr. Zeh fielded a call from Perry Poff, Esq., who requested a courtesy call alerting him to when the Board was about to call his matter to be heard, namely Grape Holdings, LLC, LV 24-2267. Board Counsel advised his office had Mr. Poff's phone number and would contact his office as his case was about to be heard so he could get back on the line and participate for his client whose matter was to come towards the end of the hearing.

The Notice of Meeting was duly provided under Chapter 618 of the Nevada Revised Statutes and in accordance with NRS Chapter 241 of the Nevada Open Meeting Law. A copy of the Notice is attached to these Minutes and made a part hereof as though fully set forth herein.

Notice of the meeting was posted or published, electronically or otherwise, consistent with the requirements of the Nevada Open Meeting Law as amended by AB 253.

Notice was posted at the following locations:

The Law Offices of Charles R. Zeh, Esq.
6900 South McCarran Blvd., Suite 2040
Reno, NV 89509

Division of Industrial Relations
2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 750
Las Vegas, Nevada 89102

This Notice was also timely posted at the following website addresses:

State of Nevada, Department of Business and Industry, Industrial Relations (DIR)
website at <https://dir.nv.gov/Meetings/Meetings>

Nevada Public Notices at <https://notice.nv.gov>

2. Public Comment.

Chairman Macias called this item to be heard. He advised that there was no public comment originating from the hearing room. Board counsel advised that his office had received no public comment. As a result, there was no public comment offered at the commencement of the meeting of the Board.

After the discussion of Public Comment, Board counsel fielded another phone call regarding this meeting. This call was from William Clarke on behalf of Trex Company, Inc. Counsel advised that his office, Littler Mendleson, has a case before the Board that is not on the agenda for today but is Docket No. RNO 26-2405. This matter is scheduled to be heard on

August 12, 2026. He was seeking with this phone call an Order from the Board giving "us" 14 days to file a motion for summary judgment. He was seeking the order immediately so that they could file and complete the motion cycle for a motion for summary judgment prior to the commencement of the hearing on the merits in RNO 26-2405.

Counsel advised that the Board is not of the practice or opinion that it should be ordering motions for summary judgment to be filed in a particular case. Whether to file such a motion is not a Board decision. Therefore, Board Counsel advised Mr. Clarke to file his summary judgment motion as swiftly as possible so that the motion cycle could be completed in advance of the meeting of August 12 and 13, 2026 in order for the motion for summary judgment to be timely filed and heard without vacating and rescheduling the hearing on this case as noticed for August 2026. Mr. Clarke indicated he understood and the Board moved on, returning to the agenda as published by the Board to dispense with the business of the Board meeting of July 8 and 9, 2026.

3. Contested Case Hearings.

Chairman Macias then called this item to be heard. Board counsel advised that with the exception of Hirschi Masonry, LLC (Oral Argument re: Motion in Limine) Case No. LV 25-2382 and Covenant Care Vegas, Inc., Case No. LV 25-2384, the remainder of the contested hearings scheduled to be heard at this time were vacated for various reasons including settlement or otherwise were removed from the docket to be addressed at a later date. These included Western States Contracting, Inc., LV 23-2220, LV 23-2221 and LV 24-2262. The settlement papers have not yet been received by the Board's counsel, with respect to these three Western States Contracting matters, Board counsel had been advised that these three matters were settled and that settlement documents were in the process of being finalized for submission to the Board for review. Board Counsel advised, further, that the amount of fines in the aggregate for these three items of Western States added to approximately \$312,025. The dollar amount was significant.

Board counsel then directed the Board's attention to the matter of the Oral Argument in Hirschi Masonry, LLC, LV 25-2382, concerning Hirschi's Motion in Limine (three of them). Hirschi sought to strike several items from being admitted into evidence or otherwise barred from being heard with its in Limine motion.

The Board Chairman, therefore, turned the matter back to Board Counsel, Charles R. Zeh, Esq., to direct the process of the Hirschi Motion in Limine, LV 25-2382. Board counsel pointed out that this matter was set for oral argument on Hirschi's Motion in Limine, with each side being given 30 minutes to present their case on the Motion in Limine and with the movant/respondent in this matter before the Board being given 10 minutes to respond to the State's argument in opposition to the motion.

Board counsel explained further, that whether the motion is granted or denied will not dispose of the case. Regardless of the outcome of the hearing on the Motion in Limine, there will be a hearing on the merits, absent a settlement or withdrawal of the case presently before the Board. Mitchell Anderson of the law firm of Fisher and Phillips, LLC, appeared on behalf of the Respondent/Movant in this matter. The Chairman advised him that he had 30 minutes to argue his Motion in Limine. Being directed to proceed, Mr. Anderson advised that Hirschi's position

was set out in detail in his Motion in Limine and, therefore, there was nothing more he could add to the debate at this time and he would reserve his rebuttal time to address any item raised by the State's response that was not addressed already in the respondent's Motion in Limine seeking to strike an environmental report from evidence, to preclude the State from calling an expert witness and to bar evidence that had not been disclosed by the State.

The State then proceeded to be heard with its 30 minutes of time to oppose the motion. The State took the position that the Motion in Limine was unnecessary and an over kill. The State acknowledged that it could not call an expert witness as it had not given notice of an expert witness as well as a copy of the report of the proposed testimony of the expert witness as required by Rule 16.1, of the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure and according to Rule 37, NRCP. The State argued additionally, that the environmental report referenced in the Motion in Limine was a report generated by the respondent and could hardly, therefore, be deemed irrelevant or a last minute disclosure resulting in a trial by ambush. Finally, the State argued, in part, that the State had already disclosed the State's entire file in this matter such that the motion was unnecessary. Counsel for Hirschi admitted that he was making no claim that the State failed to respond to any of the formal discovery that Hirschi had propounded to the State.

Board counsel advised the Board that under NAC 618.680, "Scope," the Nevada Rules of Civil Procedure including the discovery provisions therein, apply to proceedings before the Board, if, covered by the Board's regulations governing the process, the process in this case is before the Board. This would include Rule 16.1, NRCP, which bars testimony of expert unless advance notice of the identity of the expert and the report of the expert of the proposed testimony is disclosed by the State to the Respondent well in advance of the hearing on the merits. That has not been done in this case. Therefore, the State would be barred, with or without the Motion in Limine, from presenting an expert or experts to testify in this case. As for the Motion in Limine to strike evidence that had not been disclosed in response to the discovery propounded by the Respondent to the State, the State has advised that it has given the Respondent all that it has and there is nothing more to give. Further, the Respondent conceded that it has filed no motion to compel because the State had failed to adequately respond to the discovery propounded by the Respondent. As for the geology report, the objection to its use levied by the Respondent went more to the weight of the evidence as opposed to its admissibility.

Accordingly, it was moved by Shannon Chambers, seconded by Tyson Hollis, to deny the Motion in Limine (all three of them) without prejudice and to proceed as duly noticed with a hearing on the merits of this matter. The motion was adopted on a vote of 4-0. The notice of a new hearing date will follow.

The Chairman then called to be heard Covenant Care Vegas, Inc. dba Silver Hills Care Center, Docket No. LV 25-2384. Pete Cladianos, Esq., assumed the position of primary legal counsel for the Board on this matter. Salli Ortiz, Esq., appeared on behalf of the State and Complainant. No one appeared on behalf of the Respondent. It was pointed out, however, that Respondent filed an Answer in this matter and was duly served with a copy of the Notice setting forth the time, date and place of these proceedings as well as the consequences of the failure to appear and participate. Board counsel, Mr. Zeh, pointed out to the Board NAC 618.782, which provides: "Subject to the provisions of subsection 3 [not pertinent here], the failure of a party to appear at a hearing is a waiver of all rights except the rights to be served with a copy of the

decision of the Board." Subsection 2 of NAC 618.782 provides further: "Requests for reinstatement must be made, in the absence of extraordinary circumstances, within 5 days after the scheduled date for the hearing."

While the failure to appear at the hearing eviscerates many of the rights that would ordinarily inure to the Respondent, the Complainant, State of Nevada, nevertheless was obligated to produce before the Board a *prima facie* case in support of the Citations in this case. Therefore, the Board Chairman advised the State to commence the production of its *prima facie* case in order for the Board to be able to dispose of this matter that was duly noticed to be heard on this date.

Before proceeding, however, with the hearing on the merits, the State's evidentiary packet was distributed to each of the Board members that were present to hear the matter on the merits. The members hearing the matter consisted of Chairman, Jorge Macias, Secretary, Tyson Hollis, and Board member Shannon Chambers and new Board member Vincent Saavedra. Board member Gled Bautista was absent for the hearing on this matter. Member Saavedra had also been provided a copy of the State's evidentiary packet.

After distribution, the State's evidentiary packet was admitted into evidence without objection. Ms. Ortiz then called her first and only witness to be heard on this matter as a part of the "prove up" of the *prima facie* case.

Before taking on the next case, Board counsel, Mr. Zeh, brought to the Board's attention the three Western States case that were still on the agenda for this date to be heard. Those cases are Western States Contracting, Inc., LV 23-2220, LV 23-2221 and LV 24-2262. All three were still on the agenda for today's hearing but Board Counsel's office has been informed by the State that all three cases have been settled and the settlement documents were in the process of being completed or approve, actually, at the administrative level for Nevada OSHA. The documents are now in the hands of the opposing counsel for approval. Ms. Ortiz advised the Board that there should be no problems getting the signed documents to Board Counsel by the August hearing dates. The State's counsel advised the Board further, that these three Western States Contracting matters are actually going to work three other Western States settlement agreements. Therefore, once they are submitted, it will clear up six Western States cases. The three Western States cases before the Board presently were pended to the August 2026 meeting of the Board. With that explanation Chairman Macias directed the Board and Complainant to commence hearing on the *prima facie* case for LV 25-2384, Covenant Care Vegas, Inc. dba Silver Hills Care Center.

Before questioning, however, her first witness Ms. Ortiz gave a brief opening statement indicating that this matter involves one Citation item for training on blood borne pathogen exposure. She then called her first and only witness, Jeffery Ratteau, to the stand. He was the Safety Compliance Officer for the State of Nevada. Ms. Ortiz interrogated him. The Board had the opportunity to question Mr. Ratteau, also. At the conclusion of the Mr. Ratteau's testimony, Ms. Ortiz indicated that his testimony concluded her presentation of the case-in-chief applied to the *prima facie* case in this matter and she rested.

Ms. Ortiz then gave a closing statement summarizing why she believes the *prima facie* case is present and has been met by the proof offered up today regarding this matter. She argued that there is a *prima facie* case here because management is very clear that they were aware that

their employees are exposed to blood borne pathogens and other potentially. injurious, infectious materials. Also, when requested by the State to produce records of specific people who had indicated that they had been exposed to the blood borne pathogens, Respondent could not provide documentation to show protections against blood borne pathogens that were allegedly in place. There were at least two people who were exposed to blood borne pathogens without training or protection. The two employees have documented exposure. Based upon the record and good cause appearing, it was moved by Shannon Chambers, seconded by Tyson Hollis to sustain the Citation filed in this matter against the Respondent. The motion was adopted on a vote of 4-0.

The Chairman then directed the Board's attention to Item 4. a., Approval of previous Review Board meeting minutes for June 10, 2026. All Board members were present for the meeting of the Board on that date. It was accordingly moved by Jorge Macias, Chairman, seconded by Vincent Saavedra, to approve the minutes of the June 10, 2026. The motion was adopted on a vote of 4-0.

Then the Board Chairman proceeded to call Item 4.b., the review of status conferences, contested case settlements, settlement motions and withdrawals for approval, rejection, or amendment and possible issuance of final orders. Board Chairman Macias called for the Board to consider Geodis Logistics, LLC dba Geodis (Order Denying Respondent's Motion for Summary Judgment) in Docket No. LV 24-2236.

- i. LV 24-2236, Geodis Logistics, LLC dba Geodis (Order Denying Respondent's Motion for Summary Judgment).

The issue here was whether the Order that was before the Board was consistent with the action that the Board took in disposing of this matter. New member Vincent Saavedra had been given for review the Transcript of the hearing on this matter and all the pleadings and, therefore, all of the Board member attending on this date were able to consider whether the Order denying Respondent's motion for summary judgment was consistent with the action taken by the Board. It was accordingly moved by Shannon Chambers, seconded by Tyson Hollis, that the Order as drafted was consistent with the action taken by the Board when it disposed of this matter. The motion was adopted on a vote 4-0. With the approval of the Order denying Respondent's motion for summary judgment, this matter is remanded back to the Board to schedule a contested hearing on the merits.

The Board Chairman then directed Board attention to items 4(b)(ii-xiii), proposed settlements/withdrawals. The Board's legal counsel, Mr. Zeh, proceeded to bring each of these matters to the Board's attention. First up was Item 4.b.ii.

- ii. LV 24-2284 - Partee LLC dba Nothing Bundt Cakes

It was moved by Tyson Hollis, seconded by Shannon Chambers, to approve a \$9,829 claim for \$2,457. Motion adopted on a vote of 4-0.

- iii. RNO 20-2028, Caliber Holdings Corp.; Caliber Bodyworks of Nevada, Inc. dba Caliber Collision

It was moved by Shannon Chambers, seconded by Tyson Hollis, to approve a claim of \$2,975 for \$2,082.50. Motion adopted on a vote of 4-0.

- iv. RNO 20-2029 - Caliber Holdings Corp.; Caliber Bodyworks of Nevada, Inc. dba Caliber Collision

It was moved by Shannon Chambers, seconded by Tyson Hollis, to approve a claim of \$15,120 for a settlement amount of \$7,000. Motion adopted on a vote of 4-0.

- v. LV 24-2269, PDE Holdings Limited Liability Co. dba ENIX Mechanical

It was moved by Tyson Hollis, seconded by Shannon Chambers, to approve the settlement of the claim of \$10,046 for the sum of \$1,253. Motion adopted on a vote of 4-0.

- vi. LV 24-2267, Grape Holdings, LLC dba Sage Creek Post-Acute

For this matter, Perry Poff, is counsel for the Respondent. He wanted to participate in the disposition of this matter. He was contacted and, in fact, participated virtually for the hearing on this matter. It was moved by Tyson Hollis, seconded by Shannon Chambers, to approve the settlement of the claim of \$35,142 for the sum of \$18,707.70. Motion adopted on a vote of 4-0. Mr. Poff thanked the Board and counsel for their courtesies in allowing him to participate virtually when this matter was considered.

- vii. LV 24-2275, Western States Contracting, Inc.

It was moved by Tyson Hollis, seconded by Shannon Chambers, to approve withdrawal of a Complaint with prayer was \$8,929. According to the settlement agreement in the file for this matter, the Citation is withdrawn in its entirety. The motion was adopted on a vote of 4-0.

- viii. RNO 24-2283, Federal Express Corporation dba FedEx Ship Center

This matter was called next to be heard. In this case, it was moved by Tyson Hollis, seconded Shannon Chambers, to approve settlement of a claim of \$6,697 for this same sum of \$6,697. The motion was adopted on a vote of 4-0.

- ix. LV 24-2289, Voltaire Operations, LLC dba Voltaire Entertainment

This matter was called next to be heard. It was moved by Tyson Hollis, seconded by Shannon Chambers, to approve settlement of the claim of \$12,445 for the sum of \$12,445. The motion was adopted on a vote of 4-0.

- x. RNO 24-2241, Centerline Structural Innovations, Inc. dba NVO Construction

This matter was called next to be heard. It was moved by Tyson Hollis, seconded by Shannon Chambers, to approve settlement of a claim of \$5,346 for the sum of \$3,742.20. The motion was adopted on a vote of 4-0.

- xi. RNO 24-2250, Post Consumer Brands, LLC

This matter was called next to be heard. It was moved by Tyson Hollis, seconded by Shannon Chambers, to approve settlement of a claim of \$12,054 for the sum of \$7,534. The motion was adopted on a vote of 4-0.

The next two cases on the Agenda were RNO 24-2300, Martin-Harris Construction, LLC and RNO 25-2351, Martin-Harris Construction, LLC. They are items xii and xiii on the Agenda. Martin-Harris is the employer of the Chairman, Jorge Macias. He, therefore, promptly recused himself from hearing these two cases. Before proceeding on the merits of the two cases, Secretary, Tyson Hollis, assumed the position of Chair for these two matters and questioned whether there would be a quorum to decide these two cases, given the composition of the remaining Members of the Board after the Chairman recused himself. That is, it was pointed out that in order for there to be a quorum, there must be at least one Management representative and one Labor representative constituting or comprising the quorum of the Board. In this case we now have with the recusal of Chairman, two Labor members and the member designated as Public at Large. There is no management present because Chairman Macias has rescused himself and the other Management representative, Member Gled Bautista is absent on this date. Therefore, the matter must be continued with the recusal of the Chairman. These matters will be continued to the next meeting of the Board to determine whether we will have an appropriate mix of Members constituting a quorum to hear these cases at that time. If not, they will be continued again until a proper quorum to hear these matters can be convened.

Mr. Hollis then, returned the Chair to the Board Chairman, Jorge Macias. The Chairman then directed attention to the following matters 4.b.xiv.- xxv, which are Status Conferences.

- xiv. LV 22-2154 - Opus Prime Solutions, LLC dba Opus Prime Solutions (a Fatality case)

Chairman then called this matter for hearing. A Notice of Intent to Enter Default was filed on March 13, 2026. A purported Answer was filed on February 14, 2022, but was not properly served. Given this stagnation, it was moved by Chairman Jorge Macias, seconded by Tyson Hollis to set this matter for 60 days to proceed with a Default at that time or suffer a dismissal of the case. The motion was adopted on a vote of 4-0.

- xv. LV 25-2358 - Frontier Stone Works, LLC

Chairman then called this matter next up for hearing. The Complaint was filed on January 31, 2025. No Answer has been filed in the case and there is no Notice of Intent to Take Default for this matter. The prayer for relief is \$10,163. Randy Bukoskey appeared, he is the owner of

Frontier Stone Works and the project manager. On this matter, the State was served with what purports to be an answer to the complaint. The State said it was under the impression that the summons and complaint were served and filed and an answer was served and filed and the State was waiting for the Board to set this matter for hearing on the merits. The Board, however, never received a copy of the answer and, therefore, set this matter for this status conference to find out what was happening. With this explanation, it was moved by Jorge Macias, Chairman, seconded by Tyson Hollis, to reset this case for the August Board meeting at which time a status conference will be held to assess the status of the matter at that time, in order to complete the default or proceed with an answer and set this matter for hearing on the merits. The motion was adopted on a vote of 4-0.

xvi. LV 24- 2286 - Neelkanth Hospitality, LLC dba Fairfield Inn & Suites by Marriott and Towneplace Suites by Marriott

This matter was called next to be heard. For this matter, the complaint and summons were filed on April 16, 2024. There was no answer filed. The amount prayed for in the complaint was the sum of \$49,957. There was an incomplete attempt by the State at entering a default and taking a default judgment. Tula-Basal appeared for Neelkanth. She is an authorized representative and works with Neelkanth. It appears in this matter that the respondent has just retained legal counsel who is probably not up to speed. The amount of the complaint is significant. It was moved by Jorge Macias, seconded by Tyson Hollis to set another status conference in 30 days or as soon thereafter as it can be set. Motion adopted on a vote of 4-0.

xvii. LV 25-2341, First Class Body Shop, LLC

This matter was then called to be heard. The complaint and summons were filed in this matter on December 6, 2024. No answer has been filed in the case. The prayer for amount in the complaint is \$37,330. It was moved by Jorge Macias, seconded by Tyson Hollis to put this matter back on the agenda for the next month to discuss where it is at as a status conference matter. Motion adopted on a vote of 4-0.

xviii. LV 24-2247 - Nevada Exteriors, Ltd. dba Skyline Homes and Construction was called. Here, the complaint and summons were filed on October 24, 2023. There is no answer filed in this matter. In this case the amount at issue is the sum of \$8,438. A notice of intent to take default was filed on June 26, 2025. The Board decided to give the parties 30 days to get a handle on this matter. It was moved by Jorge Macias, seconded by Tyson Hollis, to set this matter out for 60 days for a review of the status at that time. The motion was adopted on a vote of 4-0.

xix. RNO 26-2424 - Authentic Roofing LLC

This matter was called to be heard. The complaint and summons in this case were filed on January 30, 2026. No answer has been filed. The amount at issue is the sum \$4,020. Patrick Coyne appeared on behalf of the respondent. He is the owner and advised that he intends to file an answer by the end of the week in this matter and if not a status conference will be scheduled on this matter for the following month. That was the motion of Jorge Macias, seconded by Tyson Hollis. The motion was adopted on a vote of 4-0.

xx. LV 24-2249 - Cambridge Cleaners Corporation dba Boston Cleaners

Then, this item was called to be heard. The summons and complaint were filed on October 30, 2023. Monica Young appeared virtually for Boston Cleaners. An answer in the form of an appeal of the inspection was filed on June 18, 2026. The amount at issue is \$4,221. It was moved by Jorge Macias, seconded by Tyson Hollis, to set this matter for a contest hearing 120 days out for trial. The motion was adopted by a vote of 4-0.

xxi. RNO 25-2367 - Prietos Roofing LLC

This matter was next called to be heard. The complaint and summons were filed March 7, 2025. An answer was filed in this case on January 22, 2026. The amount in the complaint at issue is \$8,276.10. It was moved by Jorge Macias, seconded by Tyson Hollis, to set this matter, for a hearing on the merits 120 days out from this date or assume thereafter, the 120 days had expired for the hearing on the merits.

xxii. RNO 25-2380, Western Single Ply-Nevada dba WSP Roofing

This matter was then called to be heard. The complaint and summons were filed on May 6, 2025. The answer was filed on May 15, 2025. The amount of the complaint was \$16,078. It was moved by Jorge Macias, seconded by Tyson Hollis, to set a status conference 60 days out from this date. The motion was adopted by a vote of 4-0.

xxiii. RNO 25-2379, Western Single Ply-Nevada dba WSP Roofing

This matter was then called for hearing. The summons and complaint were filed on May 6, 2025. An answer was filed on May 15, 2025. The amount of the complaint was \$22,698. It was moved by Jorge Macias, seconded by Tyson Hollis, to set this matter for a status conference 60 days out from this date. The motion was adopted by a vote of 4-0.

xxiv. LV 25-2355, Nevada Gypsum Floors, LLC

This matter was then called for hearing. The complaint and summons were filed January 24, 2025. An answer was on February 7, 2025. The amount at issue is \$10,970. It was moved by Jorge Macias, seconded by Tyson Hollis, to set this out 60 days for a status conference. The motion was adopted by a vote of 4-0.

xxv. LV 21-2132, Counts Kustoms, LLC

This matter was then called next for hearing. The complaint and summons were filed on June 28, 2021. There was no answer filed and the State had proceeded with a default but there was no default judgment entered. The Board is advised that settlement has been reached. It was moved by Jorge Macias, seconded by Tyson Hollis, to set this matter for a status conference in August 2026. The motion was adopted by a vote of 4-0.

The status conference portion of the meeting of the Board was, therefore, concluded.

The next item on the agenda to be called for disposition was Item 4.c.

c. General Administration and/or procedural issues.

i. General matters of import to Board members.

There was no discussion.

ii. Old and New Business.

There was no old or new business discussed.

d. Schedule of hearings on pending cases, calendar, and status reports. The Board has scheduled the following meetings:

The Board was reminded that Shannon Chambers will not be in attendance for the meeting of August 2026 of the Board. The remaining items on the Board's calendar docket remain as is.

- * September 9 and 10, 2026 - *Las Vegas*
- * October 14 and 15, 2026 - *Reno*
- * November 17 and 18, 2026 - *Las Vegas*
- * December 9 and 10, 2026 - *Reno*
- * January 13 and 14, 2027 - *Reno*
- * February 10 and 11, 2027 - *Las Vegas*

5. Public Comment.

The Chairman called for public comment. He advised that there was no public comment from the hearing and Board Counsel advised that his office had received no public comment during the course of the proceeding on this date.

6. Adjournment.

The Chairman called this matter to be heard. It was moved by Jorge Macias, seconded by Tyson Hollis, to adjourn the meeting. The motion was adopted 4-0.

Dated this ____ day of September, 2026.

/s/Charles R. Zeh, Esq.
Board Legal Counsel

S:\Clients\OSHA\Minutes\2026\July 8, 2026 Minutes R5.wpd